HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. SWAROOP REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 25874 of 2006

DATE: 6.3.2007

Between:
N. Kishore and others.

…Petitioners

And

The Government of Andhra Pradesh
rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

…

Respondents

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR
AND
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P. SWAROOP REDDY

WRIT PETITION No. 25874 of 2006

ORDER: (per Sri J. Chelameswar,J)

-

-

The writ petition is filed with the following prayer:

> "For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, it is therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more in the nature of Mandamus declaring the judgment of the A.P. Administrative Tribunal in O.A. No. 3991 of 2001 along with O.A. No. 1693 of 2002 and O.A. No.3654 of 2004, dated 2.8.2004 and order in Rc. No. 1000/E1/2001, dated 3.10.2001 of the Director General and Inspector General of Police, A.P., Hyderabad as illegal, arbitrary and hold that the petitioners are entitled to have seniority in the cadre of Civil Sub-Inspector from the date of their initial appointment as reserve Sub-Inspector and consequently direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners for promotion to the post of Inspector of Police duly computing and counting their seniority from the date of their initial appointment as Reserve Sub Inspector on the basis of analogy adopted in favour of Reserve Sub Inspectors appointed by transfer/conversion to the cadre of Civil Sub-Inspectors with all consequential benefits and pass such other and further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper."

When the matter has been taken up for hearing, it is agreed by the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents that the issue involved in the writ petition is squarely covered by a decision of this Court in Writ Petition No. 19618 of 2005 and batch, dated 1.3.2007.

Therefore, this writ petition is also allowed for the same reasons assigned in the above mentioned judgment. No costs.

_____
J. CHELAMESWAR,J

Date: 6th March, 2007

_____
P. SWAROOP REDDY,J

pnb